

**REVISED
ANALYSIS OF
DOMESTIC WATER, SEWER
AND STORM DRAIN IMPACTS**

**PASEO DE LA PLAYA
SITE 1**

Client: Mr. William Wright
130 Garden Street
Santa Barbara CA 93101

Prepared by: MAC Design Associates
1933 Cliff Drive, Suite 6
Santa Barbara, CA 93109

Date: July 30, 2007

W.O. 0189

Domestic Water Use

Impacts to the existing City water system caused by the development of Site 1 of the Paseo de la Playa project will be analyzed using the American Water Works Association Manual of Water Supply Practices, Sizing Water Service Lines and Meters, Publication AWWA M22.

The domestic water use will be based on the water supply fixture units contained within the Site 1 development. According to the project architect, the site water fixtures based on the preliminary plans are as follows:

	No.	Water Supply Fixture Units (WSFU)	Hot & Cold Water Factor	Total WSFU
Kitchen sink	91	1.5	1.5	204.75
Dishwasher	91	1.5	1.5	204.75
Bathroom Sink	355	1.5	1.5	798.75
Shower Stall	83	4.0	1.5	498.00
Bathtub	70	4.0	1.5	420.00
Comb. Bath/Shower	179	4.0	1.5	1,074.00
Toilet	304	3.0		912.00
Washing Machine	91	4.0	1.5	546.00
Laundry Sink	32	2.0	1.5	96.00
Hose Bib	65	2.5		162.50
Total				4,916.75

According to Figure 4.5 of AWWA M22, the instantaneous demand at 35 psi is 85 gpm.

Applying a multiplication factor to adjust demand load to the peak load based on existing water pressure (Table 4.2, AWWA M22) will yield the following:

$$\text{Demand} = (2) (85) = 170 \text{ gpm}$$

Flow rate in the existing 12" PVC water main in Garden Street south of the subject project is 1,511 gpm. The instantaneous demand represents 5.6% of the flow, and peak demand represents 11.2% of the flow. Therefore, there is adequate capacity in the existing 12" PVC water main to serve the project.

Sewer Service

The domestic water flows calculated above will be used to determine the adequacy of the existing City sewer system as the water using fixtures except the hose bibs will drain to the sewer system. The water use for hose bibs will be included in the sewer load, therefore this will create a worst case for both instantaneous and peak load. Using the domestic water use figures, the instantaneous load for sewer will be 85 gpm and the peak

load will be 170 gpm. According to City staff, the existing flow in the 42" HDPE sewer main is 0.795 mgd. The project will add an instantaneous load of 0.122 mgd (85 gpm x 60 min/hr x 24 hr/day / 1,000,000) and a peak load of 0.244 mgd to the existing flow.

As stated previously, the existing flow in the existing 42" HDPE sewer main is 0.795 mgd. Reducing this figure to cubic feet per second (cfs) and applying a 2.5 factor of safety with a 12 hour period will produce the following flow:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (.795 \text{ mgd}) (1,000,000) (1\text{ft}^3 / 7.5 \text{ gal}) (1/12 \text{ hr}) \\ &\quad (1 \text{ hr} / 60 \text{ min}) (1 \text{ min} / 60 \text{ sec}) (2.5) \\ &= (795,000 \text{ gal} / \text{day}) (1\text{ft}^3 / 7.5 \text{ gal}) (1 / 43,200 \text{ sec}) (2.5) \\ &= (265,000 / 43,200) \\ Q &= 6.1 \text{ cfs} \end{aligned}$$

The capacity of the existing 42" HDPE sewer main will be calculated for the pipe flowing one half full based on the Manning equation using the following from the Handbook of Hydraulics, King & Brater:

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= k^{1/n} d^{8/3} s^{1/2} & d &= 42" = 3.5' \\ & & S &= 0.0016 \text{ ft/ft} \\ & & & \text{(City Dwg. No. C-1-3616)} \\ &= 0.232 / 0.010 (3.5)^{8/3} (.0016)^{1/2} \\ &= (23.2) (28.24) (0.040) \\ Q &= 26.0 \text{ cfs} \end{aligned}$$

The remaining capacity is therefore 19.9 cfs (26.0 cfs – 6.1 cfs).

Converting the instantaneous and peak flows of the project to CFS using the same formula as previously shown will yield an instantaneous flow of 0.94 cfs and a peak flow of 1.88 cfs. These flows represent 4.7% and 9.4% respectively of the remaining capacity of the existing sewer main.

Storm Drain System

The proposed Site 1 development will not increase storm water runoff from pre-development levels. This is in accordance with the City's Storm Water Management Program. The proposed project, therefore, will not impact downstream storm drain facilities and it is unnecessary to submit hydraulic calculations.